1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Barry P. Caplan, OSB No. 650178 Susan S. Ford, OSB No. 842203 Timothy A. Solomon, OSB No. 072573 SUSSMAN SHANK LLP 1000 SW Broadway, Suite 1400 Portland, OR 97205-3089 Telephone: (503) 227-1111 Facsimile: (503) 248-0130 E-Mail: barry@sussmanshank.com susanf@sussmanshank.com tsolomon@sussmanshank.com tsolomon@sussmanshank.com Technologies Inc., Lindsay Assets, Thomas P. Ziegler, Schaffer Industrie	, LLC	C, Omar Ratti, Pelota Aviation LLC,		
9					
10	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT				
11	DISTRICT OF OREGON				
12	In re)	Case No. 09-38458-rld11		
13	Aircraft Investor Resources, LLC,)	CERTAIN CREDITORS' OBJECTION TO ER1, LLC'S		
14 15	Debtor.)	MOTION TO EXT, ELC 3 MOTION TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 11 TO CHAPTER 7		
16	Douglas King, Alf Heim III, LLC, Bu	— rk Air	craft, LLC, Independent Technologies		
17	Douglas King, Alf Heim III, LLC, Burk Aircraft, LLC, Independent Technologies Inc., Lindsay Assets, LLC, Omar Ratti, Pelota Aviation LLC, Thomas P. Ziegler,				
18	Schaffer Industries, Herin Aviation, LLC, et al., creditors in the above-referenced case				
19	(hereinafter the "Certain Creditors"), object to the Motion to Convert Case from Chapter				
20	11 to Chapter 7 (Docket #85) (the "Motion to Convert") filed by ER1, LLC, and in				
21	support thereof state as follows:				
22	BACKGROUND				
23	It is important that this Court be apprised of the context underlying the ER1 LLC				
24	Motion to Convert:				
25 26		co-ow	ned by a company controlled by Rick		

Page 1 of 5 - CERTAIN CREDITORS' OBJECTION TO ER1, LLC'S MOTION TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 11 TO CHAPTER 7

Schrameck called Quick Turn. The Certain Creditors believe that Quick Turn,
Shrameck and possibly other LLC's in which Shrameck has an interest have engaged in
fraudulent and/or self-dealing activities during Shrameck's tenure as the chief executive
officer of Aircraft Investor Resources, LLC (the "Debtor").

- 2. ER1 is both the "sublessee", from the Debtor, of the premises located at 22590 Nelson Road, Bend, Oregon (the "Facility"), and the "sub-sublessor" and "landlord" to the Debtor and others of the same Facility.
- 3. One of the events precipitating the filing of this Chapter 11 case was ER1's purported "eviction" of the Debtor from the Facility, since which time ER1 has barred the Debtor from entering the Facility. As the Debtor put it, "Mr. Schrameck (as landlord) evicted his own company (as tenant)." See Motion of Aircraft Investor Resources, LLC (the "Debtor") to Reject Ground Lease and Sub-Lease Thereunder (Docket #67), at ¶ 11. This "eviction" appears to have been wrongful. Jeff Sanders, the person in charge of the Debtor until recently, stated under oath that "the Debtor/tenant was not in default of the [purported ER1 sub-sublease] and the reentry and continued possession by [ER1] was and is unlawful." See Declaration of Jeff Sanders, dated September 25, 2009, at ¶ 12. ER1 now claims an alleged "security interest in all property owned by the Debtor." See Motion to Convert at ¶ 11.
- 4. The Certain Creditors question ER1's motives in filing the Motion to Convert, and dispute many of ER1's contentions, including the following:
 - (a) That ER1 is the "owner" of the improvements at the Facility;
 - (b) That ER1 has any rights as "landlord" to the Debtor;

¹ A copy of this Declaration, which was originally filed in the E

A copy of this Declaration, which was originally filed in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada, is attached to the Motion for Appointment of Chapter 11 Trustee filed by the Certain Creditors on October 20, 2009 (Docket #73), as Exhibit C.

Page 2 of 5 - CERTAIN CREDITORS' OBJECTION TO ER1, LLC'S MOTION TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 11 TO CHAPTER 7

1	(c)	That ER1 has a lien or security interest against any property of the		
2		Debtor;		
3	(d)	That the "sublease" and "sub sublease" between ER1 and the		
4		Debtor and others are true or valid lease transactions;		
5	(e)	That ER1's "eviction" of the Debtor was proper or lawful; and		
6	(f)	If the "sub sublease" is ultimately determined to be a true or valid		
7		lease, that it was effectively terminated prepetition.		
8	APP	DINTMENT OF A CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE, NOT CONVERSION, IS		
9		IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF CREDITORS		
10	5. ER1 a	argues conversion is appropriate because "substantial and continuing		
11	loss" to the Debtor	s estate is occurring as a result of this Chapter 11 proceeding. See		
12	Motion to Convert	at pp.8-9. This concern is overstated. The Debtor is not operating,		
13	as ER1 concedes,	has no employees and should not be incurring significant obligations		
14	at this time. This	case is only weeks old, and to the extent ER1 complains that the		
15	costs of this Chapte	er 11 case are "diminishing the potential recovery of creditors," id. at		
16	p.8, the Certain Cre	editors note that ER1 does not speak for them, and they do not at this		
17	time agree with its	concerns. ²		
18	6. It is p	remature and unnecessary to convert this case to Chapter 7. The		
19	creditors in this ca	ase - whose interests should be paramount - are beginning the		
20	process of evalua	ting various options to maximize estate value and their ultimate		
21	recovery. These op	tions may eventually include conversion of the case, of course, but		
22	at this time they	also include the possibility of funding, if necessary, and under		
23	appropriate condition	ons and circumstances (including an evaluation of Debtor's available		
24				
25	² ER1 is a creditor	of the Debtor (albeit a disputed one), but its interests are adverse to		
26	those of most, if no	ot all, other creditors in this case. Accordingly, what benefits ER1 in		
this case does not necessarily benefit other creditors, and in all likelihood harms them. Page 3 of 5 - CERTAIN CREDITORS' OBJECTION TO ER1, LLC'S MOTION TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 11 TO CHAPTER 7				

SUSSMAN SHANK LLP, ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1000 SW BROADWAY, SUITE 1400, PORTLAND, OREGON 97205-3089 TELEPHONE (503) 227-1111 | FACSIMILE (503) 248-0130

- cash and other resources), a Chapter 11 reorganization or liquidation. The Certain Creditors believe that conversion of this case at this particular time is not in the best interest of creditors.
- 7. ER1 also argues that conversion is appropriate because there has been gross mismanagement of the estate. See Motion to Convert at pp.9-10. While the Certain Creditors agree, ER1, which is co-owned by an entity controlled by Schrameck the very person accused of the mismanagement should not be the party to determine the appropriate remedy for such mismanagement. Similarly, ER1's complaint that it is "being forced to involuntarily extend credit" to the Debtor, *id.* p.11, must be taken with a large grain of salt. As discussed above and in other papers filed by the Certain Creditors, ER1 appears to have a complicated, dubious relationship with the Debtor and other affiliated, Schrameck-controlled entities. The Debtor has forcefully stated that earlier in this case that it believes ER1's "eviction" of the Debtor was improper and unlawful. Until these issues have been investigated and resolved, the Court should not convert this case or take any other action on the basis that ER1 is somehow being economically harmed by the Debtor.
- 8. Finally, ER1 points to the apparent lapse of the Debtor's business insurance. See Motion to Convert at p.10. The Debtor is not currently operating and does not need business insurance at this time. A basic fire insurance policy covering the assets should be relatively easy and inexpensive to obtain.
- 9. The best interests of creditors will be served by the administration of this case in Chapter 11 at this time not by conversion. ER1 is the only party in interest in this case that argues for immediate conversion. No other creditors have so moved and even the Debtor opposes conversion. On the other hand, multiple creditors have moved for the immediate appointment of a Chapter 11 trustee.

26 ////

Page 4 of 5 - CERTAIN CREDITORS' OBJECTION TO ER1, LLC'S MOTION TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 11 TO CHAPTER 7

Case 09-38458-rld11 Doc 93 Filed 10/23/09

1	WHEREFORE, the Certain Creditors respectfully request that the Court deny the				
2	relief requested in the Motion to Convert.				
3	Dated: October 23, 2009				
4	SUSSMAN SHANK LLP				
5	/s/ Susan S. Ford				
6	By: Barry P. Caplan, OSB No. 650178				
7	Susan S. Ford, OSB No. 842203 Timothy A. Solomon, OSB No. 072573				
8	Attorneys for Douglas King, Alf Heim III, LLC Burk Aircraft, LLC, Independent Technologies Inc., Lindsay Assets, LLC, Omar Ratti, Pelota Aviation LLC, Thomas P. Ziegler, Schaffe				
9	Aviation LLC, Thomas P. Ziegler, Schaffer				
10	Industries, Herin Aviation, LLC, et al.				
11					
12	F:\CLIENTS\20686\001\PLEADINGS\P-OBJ ER1 MOT CON F.DOC				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					

Page 5 of 5 - CERTAIN CREDITORS' OBJECTION TO ER1, LLC'S MOTION TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 11 TO CHAPTER 7

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
2	I, Linda R. Scott declare as follows:		
3	I am employed in the County of Multnomah, State of Oregon; I am over the age		
4	of eighteen years and am not a party to this action; my business address is 1000 SW		
5	Broadway, Suite 1400, Portland, Oregon 97205-3089, in said County and State.		
6	I certify that on October 23, 2009, I served, via first class mail, a full and correct		
7	copy of the foregoing CERTAIN CREDITORS' OBJECTION TO ER1, LLC'S MOTION		
8	TO CONVERT CASE FROM CHAPTER 11 TO CHAPTER 7, to the parties of record,		
9	addressed as follows:		
10	See attached service list.		
11	I also certify that on October 23, 2009, I served the above-referenced		
12	document(s) on all ECF participants as indicated on the Court's Cm/ECF system.		
13	I swear under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best		
14	of my knowledge, information, and belief.		
15	Dated: October 23, 2009.		
16			
17	/s/ Linda R. Scott		
18	Linda R. Scott, Legal Assistant		
19			
20	F:\CLIENTS\20686\001\SERVICE INFO\CERT LRS OBJ ER1 MOT CON.DOC		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

Case 09-38458-rld11 Doc 93 Filed 10/23/09

SERVICE LIST

In re Aircraft Investor Resources, LLC

Bky Case No. 09-38458-rld11

Via ECF

- BARRY P CAPLAN barry@sussmanshank.com, ctolle@sussmanshank.com
- SUSAN S FORD susanf@sussmanshank.com, ecf.susan.ford@sussmanshank.com
- ERICK J HAYNIE EHaynie@perkinscoie.com, JGriffithScott@perkinscoie.com;docketpor@perkinscoie.com
- STEVEN M HEDBERG shedberg@perkinscoie.com, cdaugherty@perkinscoie.com;docketpor@perkinscoie.com
- BRIAN T HEMPHILL brian@hemphill-attorney.com
- EDWARD A MERRILL merrill_law@hotmail.com
- CRAIG G RUSSILLO crussillo@schwabe.com, dkinonen@schwabe.com;docket@schwabe.com
- ELIZABETH H SHEA eshea@hackerwillig.com, donna@hackerwillig.com;debbie@hackerwillig.com;arnie@hackerwillig.com
- TIMOTHY A SOLOMON ecf.timothy.solomon@sussmanshank.com
- US Trustee, Portland USTPRegion18.PL.ECF@usdoj.gov

Via U.S. Mail

ROBERT ATKINSON 10120 S Eastern Ave #202 Henderson, NV 89052

THOMAS H FELL 3960 Howard Hughs Pky 9th Fl Las Vegas, NV 89169

TERESA M KRPATA 3960 Howard Hughs Pkwy, 9th Fl Las Vegas, NV 89169

NILE LEATHAM 3320 W Sahara Ave #380 Las Vegas, NV 89102

EDWARD A MERRILL 243 SW Scalehouse Lp #1B Bend, OR 97702

AMBRISH S SIDHU 810 S Casino Center Blvd #104 Las Vegas, NV 89101

JOSEPH G WENT 3320 W Sahara Ave #380 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Balyeat & Eager, LLP 920 NW Bond St., Ste. 209 Bend, OR 97701-2762

Quick-Turn Technologies Nevada, LLC 2121 Redbird Dr. Las Vegas, NV 89134-6191

TAM-Air, Inc. c/o Corporation Trust Company 1209 Orange St. Wilmington, DE 19801-1120

Richard Schrameck c/o Matthew J. Kalmanson c/o Janet Marie Schroer Hoffman Hart & Wagner, LLP 1000 SW Broadway, 20th Floor Portland, OR 97205

Jeff Sanders c/o Michael H. McGean Francis, Hansen & Martin, LLP 1148 NW Hill Street Bend, OR 97701

Brian L. Gingerich Schwabe Williamson & Wyatt PC 549 SW Mill View Way, Ste. 100 Bend, OR 97702